

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/973,182	10/10/2001		Seiichiro Okuda	50099-183	4482
20277	7590	03/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY				MACARTHUR, SYLVIA	
600 13TH S		N.W. 20005-3096	`	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ION, DC	, 20005-3070		1763	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/973,182	OKUDA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addres	ss					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a relation to the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 E	<u>December 2003</u> .							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			•					
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-19</u> is/are pending in the ap								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			. '					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) 9-12 is/are objected to.		•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 October 2001</u> is/are		bjected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			age					
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<i>,</i> —	Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)					

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 13-19 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al (US 6,669,809).

Regarding claims 1 and 13: Hashimoto teaches a holding and rotating section (a spin type coating apparatus), a remover supplying section (chemical supply 5), and a gas supplying section (blow gas 1)

Regarding claim 2: Hashimoto teaches a chemical rinse nozzle 12 which anticipates the remover supplying tube and slit type blow nozzle 14 anticipates a gas supplying tube which supplies a gas. Col. 4 line 47 teaches that nitrogen (an inert gas) is a preferable gas.

Regarding claim 3: The remover supplying tube 12 is set in the gas supplying tube 14.

Regarding claim 4: Exhausting section 7 is set to the side of the substrate by the holding and rotating section to exhaust a gas nearby the substrate.

Regarding claims 6, 7, 15, and 16: The apparatus of Hashimoto is inherently capable of processing the type of apparatus discussed. These product by process claims are not given patentable weight.

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding claim 8: Both nozzles are slit-type.

Regarding claim 14: Inherently there is a time elapse to between the supply of the remover and the inert gas. Without an elapse of time, the remover would not function, as it would carry away by the gas.

Regarding claims 17-19: Hashimoto teaches that the apparatus is controlled by a computer program see col. 5 lines 16-27.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 9-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The prior art of record fails to teach an aspirating section located opposite said gas nozzle.

Conclusion

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,182

Art Unit: 1763

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sylvia R MacArthur whose telephone number is 571-272-1438. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during the core hours of 8 a.m. and 2 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 703-308-1633. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,182

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sylvia R MacArthur Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763

February 23, 2004

p. Hassanzed primary examiner M1707